Fall 2018

Bruce F. Webster

CS 428 THE MYTHICAL MAN-MONTH Chapter 1, 2, 4, 5

Why The Mythical Man-Month?

- Originally published in 1975; updated in 1995
- ♦ Based on Fred Brook's experience overseeing the development of OS/360 for the IBM/360
- * Remains a classic because it set forth most of the fundamental issues and causes of delays and failures in software projects
- ♦ Software failures still cost somewhere on the order of \$100 billion/year worldwide, and most of the root causes can be found in Brooks
- Me, before Congress, in 1998:

"Humanity has been developing information technology for half a century. That experience has taught us this unpleasant truth: virtually every information technology project above a certain size or complexity is significantly late and over budget or fails altogether; those that don't fail are often riddled with defects and difficult to enhance. Fred Brooks explored many of the root causes over twenty years ago in *The Mythical Man-Month*, a classic book that could be regarded as the Bible of information technology because it is universally known, often quoted, occasionally read, and rarely heeded."

Chapter 1: the tar pit

- Concept: levels of complexity in types of software
 - ♦ Individual program for personal use
 - ♦ Commercial product for distribution and sale (word processor, game, app)
 - ♦ "Programming system" (custom operating system, large-scale integrated system) for in-house use
 - ♦ Commercial "programming system" (OS, ERP, etc.) for distribution and sale
- What are some other types of added software complexity?
- What can make software difficult to maintain and update?

The Tar Pit (cont.)

- ♦ The Joys of the Craft of Programming
 - ♦ The sheer joy of making things
 - ♦ The pleasure of making things that are useful to other people
 - ♦ The fascination of building complex systems
 - ♦ The joy [heh] of always learning
 - ♦ The delight of working in such a tractable medium "only slightly removed from pure thoughtstuff...yet...is real in the sense that it move and works, producing visible outputs separate from the construct itself"
- Why else do people enjoy software engineering (assuming they do)?

The Tar pit (cont.)

- ♦ The Woes of the Craft
 - ♦ You must perform perfectly
 - Other people set your objectives, provide your resources, and furnish your information
 - Usually your authority is not sufficient for your responsibility
 - ♦ You often depend upon other people's programs, which are less than perfect
 - * The upper bound of quality of a complex system is determined by the lowest quality of any of its essential components
 - ♦ Designing grand concepts is fun; finding nitty little bugs is just work
 - ♦ Debugging has *at best* linear convergence
 - ⋄ The product is often obsolete before it is completed
- What are other painful things you've discovered about software engineering?

Chapter 2: The Mythical Man-Month

- * Root causes of software project delays and failure
 - ♦ Our techniques of estimation are [still] poorly developed
 - ♦ Our estimation techniques confuse effort with progress (people & months are interchangeable)
 - ♦ Because we are uncertain of our estimates, we often lack the courage to say we don't know when we'll be done
 - ♦ Schedule progress is poorly monitored and hard to measure
 - ♦ When the schedule slips, the impulse is to add staff, which is "like dousing a fire with gasoline"
- What have you observed?

The Mythical Man-Month (cont.)

- "All programmers are optimists"
 - Only optimists build complex systems. (Adele Goldberg)
 - ♦ We too often assume each task will take only as long as it "ought" to take
 - ♦ The probability that a given task will go well may be relatively high, but a meaningful software project comprises hundreds if not thousands of such tasks
 - ♦ Thus: It is very easy to lose a day; it is impossible to make it up.
 - ♦ Additional complication: we tend to focus on the easy tasks first and defer the difficult problems until late in the project illusion of great progress
- What are some other ways in which we tend to be overly optimistic?

The Mythical Man-Month (cont.)

♦ The Man-Month

- ♦ The "man-month" as a unit for measuring the size of a software engineering project is a dangerous and deceptive myth
- Sequential constraints in development as well as communication requirements make the "manmonth" concept unrealistic (and self-deluding)
- ♦ Adding a person to a project not only increases the communication paths and requirements, it also costs time for bringing the new person up to speed
- ♦ Thus, adding more people lengthens, not shortens, the schedule (Brooks Law)
- ♦ In light of the above, what do you think the impact of personnel turnover is?

The Mythical Man-Month (cont.)

- Component debugging and system testing forces sequential constraints
 - ♦ Testing is usually the most mis-scheduled (underestimated) part of programming
 - ♦ Brooks' rule of thumb: 1/3rd planning, 1/6th coding, 1/4th component test, 1/4th system test
 - "I found that few allowed one-half the project schedule for testing, but that most did indeed spend half of the actual schedule for that purpose."
 - ♦ The 90/90 rule: 90% of the work takes the first 90% of the schedule, and the remaining 10% of the work takes the other 90% of the schedule
 - ♦ Underestimation of system testing (integration, end-to-end, performance, stress) is particularly damaging since it shows up right when project completion is expected

The Mythical Man-month (cont.)

Gutless estimating

- ♦ Endemic in our industry
- Completion date is picked because "we have to have it by then" or to meet a "market opportunity", not based on any rational basis or realistic estimate
- ♦ Upper management often does not want to hear a realistic estimate
- ♦ Regenerative schedule disaster
 - ♦ So, what happens when the project is late? "Add people to it. Work longer hours." Both are counter-productive.
 - ♦ Only real solution: slip deadline and/or drop features.
- ♦ Observations?

Aristocracy, democracy, and system design

- ♦ Brooks: conceptual integrity is *the* most important consideration in system design (I agree)
- Simplicity, straightforwardness, unity of design are necessary
- ♦ The design must proceed from one mind or a very small number of agreeing resonant minds
- ♦ The conceptual integrity of a system determines its ease of use
- ♦ A consistent architecture enhances the creative style of implementers
- ♦ A well-thought-out architecture increases the robustness and adaptability of the resulting software system

The Second-System Effect

- Interactive discipline for the architect
 - ♦ The architecture is valuable input into estimating the implementation and testing
 - ♦ If the schedule is unacceptably long, the architect can look for ways to simplify
 - ♦ Big challenge: features that may seem simple to the customer may actually be very difficult to design and implement
- ♦ The second-system effect
 - ♦ Brooks notes later that true iterative development can diminish this problem, but...
 - ♦ The first shipping version usually has many deferred features; there is a strong temptation to throw in "everything but the kitchen sink" into version 1.1 or 2.0
- ♦ Real-world issue: incurring 'technical debt' and not handling it

Assignments for next class (9/23)

- ♦ Watch one podcast by Sat midnight; log on Learning Suite
- ♦ Get your team's project Wiki set up, with a final list of team members; start discussion team roles and division of labor
- ♦ Read *The Mythical Man-Month* chapters 7, 11, 14 by start of class next week